PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT 2015/16: PROGRESS REPORT THREE

Report of the:	Chief Executive	
Contact:	Adama Roberts/Margaret Jones	
Urgent Decision?(yes/no)	No	
If yes, reason urgent decision required:	N/A	
Annexes/Appendices (attached):	<u>Annexe 1</u> : Progress Report Three 2015/16 prepared for the Audit, Crime & Disorder and Scrutiny Committee	
Other available papers (not		

Other available papers (not attached):

REPORT SUMMARY

This report presents the Committee with its third performance management progress report for 2015/16, providing an overview of progress made against the policy committees' actions and highlighting those actions recorded as not met.

RECOMMENDATION (S)		Notes
That the Committee:		
(1)	Receives Performance Management Progress Report Three 2015/16	
(2)	Identifies any issues requiring action.	

1 Implications for the Council's Key Priorities, Service Plans and Sustainable Community Strategy

- 1.1 In December 2011 the Council adopted the new Corporate Plan for 2012/16. The plan identifies the Council's Key Priorities and Core Values for the four year period.
- 1.2 As part of the service planning process to support delivery of the Council's Corporate Plan, actions have been agreed under the service plans for 2015/16.

AUDIT, CRIME & DISORDER AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE 14 APRIL 2016

1.3 Owing to the volatile nature of the economic climate, it has been agreed that actions would be reviewed and set annually rather than for a period of four years. This was deemed more productive because changes and decisions around actions set in the Service Plans could be taken promptly, in line with changing economic times.

2 Background

2.1 This report presents the Audit, Crime & Disorder and Scrutiny Committee with an extract from Performance Management Progress Report Three 2015/16 (attached as <u>Annexe 1</u>). It highlights the percentage of actions that have been classified as Achieved, On Target and Not Met as at February 2016. (A key to the reporting classifications is set out at <u>Annexe 1</u>). It provides particular detail on those actions classified as Not Met.

3 Update on Performance as at February 2016

3.1 Thirty six actions are being monitored across 2015/16. As at February 2016, 1 action was achieved; 10 were on target and 9 were not met. In addition, 1 action had no recorded data; 4 actions were included for information purposes only.

4 Financial and Manpower Implications

- 4.1 Actions identified for 2015/16, at the time of agreeing the actions, were considered to be achievable within agreed budgets, including the reduced staffing budget.
- 4.2 *Chief Finance Officer's comments:* None for the purposes of this report.

5 Legal Implications (including implications for matters relating to equality)

- 5.1 There is the opportunity through the development and delivery of this Service Plan to secure significant benefits for residents.
- 5.2 *Monitoring Officer's comments:* None for the purposes of this report.

6 Sustainability Policy and Community Safety Implications

- 6.1 Delivery of Year 4 of the Service Plan will assist the Council to create sustainable communities.
- 6.2 There are no particular community safety implications for the purpose of this report.

7 Partnerships

7.1 There are no particular partnership implications for the purpose of this report.

AUDIT, CRIME & DISORDER AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE 14 APRIL 2016

8 Risk Assessment

8.1 The creation of a Performance Management Framework mitigates against the loss of focus and assists the organisation in ensuring that it has the financial capacity to deliver its objectives.

9 Conclusion and Recommendations

9.1 The implementation of a robust performance monitoring and management system is essential to ensure that the Committees' Service Plans and ultimately the Council's Key Priorities are delivered, any variances explained and decisions over future action made.

WARD(S) AFFECTED: All